

**New Issue: Moody's assigns Aa1 rating to \$400 million of Massachusetts general obligation bonds**

---

Global Credit Research - 21 Sep 2012

**Commonwealth has \$18.8 billion of general obligation debt outstanding**

MASSACHUSETTS (COMMONWEALTH OF)  
State Governments (including Puerto Rico and US Territories)  
MA

**Moody's Rating**

<b>ISSUE</b>	<b>RATING</b>
General Obligation Bonds, Consolidated Loan of 2012, Series C	Aa1
<b>Sale Amount</b>	\$400,000,000
<b>Expected Sale Date</b>	09/25/12
<b>Rating Description</b>	General Obligation

**Moody's Outlook**

**Opinion**

NEW YORK, September 21, 2012 --Moody's Investors Service has assigned a Aa1 rating to the Commonwealth of Massachusetts' \$400 million General Obligation Bonds, Consolidated Loan of 2012, Series C. Proceeds of the bonds, scheduled to sell on September 25, will be used to finance various capital projects.

**SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE**

The rating reflects the Commonwealth of Massachusetts' strong financial management practices and its demonstrated willingness to balance its budget when necessary through spending cuts, revenue increases and use of reserves; a large education and health care sector that generates high wages and that has helped to mitigate job losses in the current downturn; debt levels that are among the highest in the nation; and relatively low pension funding levels. The outlook is stable.

**STRENGTHS**

- Strong financial management during economic downturns, particularly a willingness to promptly identify and close budget gaps through expenditure reductions, revenue increases and prudent use of reserves
- Budget reserves that provide an adequate cushion to another downturn and the commonwealth's demonstrated commitment to rebuild them to stronger levels, including through a new statutory mechanism to replenish them going forward
- High wealth and high levels of educational attainment and the presence of large, highly-rated higher education and health care institutions in the Boston area has lent a degree of economic stability and have mitigated some job losses during recessions

**CHALLENGES**

- Managing expenditure pressures, especially from health care and social services, in a lower revenue environment and amid ongoing economic uncertainty
- Debt ratios that are among the highest in the nation combined with relatively low pension funding ratios

-- Managing the challenges from federal downsizing during the next several years, which could have a heavy impact on the commonwealth's important healthcare sector

## DETAILED CREDIT DISCUSSION

### ECONOMY PERFORMS STRONGER THAN THE NATION BUT IS SLOWING

Through the downturn, Massachusetts' economy generally performed more strongly than the nation. It continues to outperform, but the pace is slowing. Based on jobs figures just released by the commonwealth, its unemployment rate increased slightly in August to 6.3% (compared to 6.1% in the prior month), although that is still considerably better than the US average rate of 8.1%. Employment growth was better in Massachusetts than the national average for most of the downturn but in 2011 only increased by 0.6% compared to 1.2% for the US. For most of 2012 employment growth in Massachusetts has lagged the nation although in July it was about even. The commonwealth continues to be aided by its large education and health sector, which makes up more than 20% of employment. The state also has a large financial activities sector, with a significant mutual fund presence. While the important healthcare sector is partly behind Massachusetts's above-average employment and income performance, future federal downsizing could impact healthcare as well as government research and have a significant impact in Massachusetts. The state is characterized by high wealth and education levels but with slow population and job growth over the longer run. Per capita personal income in 2011 was \$53,621, ranking second among the states.

### COMMONWEALTH MAINTAINS HEALTHY FINANCIAL POSITION; FALL REVENUE COLLECTIONS WILL BE IMPORTANT BAROMETER

Massachusetts tax revenues increased by 2.9% in fiscal 2012, 0.5% greater than forecast. Total personal income taxes increased by 2.9%, sales taxes by 3.2% and corporate income taxes by 4.1%. The capital gains portion of the personal income tax decreased by 7.6%, an important measure in high wealth Massachusetts. The commonwealth estimates it ended the year with a budgetary basis ending balance of approximately \$128 million. The budgeted fiscal 2013 revenue forecast reflects 4.5% growth compared to fiscal 2012. For the first two months of the year, neither of which are major ones for revenues, collections are essentially flat compared to the same period in the prior year. September, an important month for quarterly estimated income tax payments, will signal whether the full year forecast may need to be revised. The fiscal 2013 budget - which reflects 3.9% growth compared to fiscal 2012 - includes a draw on the Budget Stabilization Fund. In past years when revenue growth was strong enough, the commonwealth either reduced that or eliminated the withdrawal. Overall the commonwealth has reduced the amount of one time resources it uses in its budget, and the draw itself is only 1.0% of total budgeted funds.

### HEAVY TAX-SUPPORTED DEBT LOAD; HIGH PENSION COSTS ALTHOUGH REFORMS WILL PROVIDE LONG-TERM BENEFITS

The commonwealth has a high debt burden, with \$18.8 billion in outstanding general obligation bonds and nearly \$32 billion in total net tax-supported debt. Debt levels have been driven upwards in part by the commonwealth's issuance of bonds to finance projects that in other states would be paid for at the local level. Based on Moody's 2012 state debt medians, the state's debt levels ranked second-highest among the 50 states on both a per-capita basis and as a percentage of personal income, respectively, and is the highest as a percentage of state gross domestic product. The commonwealth's debt per capita was \$4,814, 9.4% of its personal income, and 8.4% of its gross domestic product.

A pension reform enacted by the state last year provides long-term benefits to the state. Based on an updated actuarial valuation released in September 2011, Massachusetts' pension funded ratio had improved to 71.1% as of January 1, 2011 from 67.5% in 2010. Among the changes, the pension reform measure extended the funding schedule from 2025 to 2040, which reduced the fiscal 2012 contribution from \$2.2 billion to \$1.4 billion (although that was still larger than then fiscal 2011 amount). The negative impact of pushing out the period to fully fund the retirement obligations was partially offset by increasing the retirement age for most state employees; eliminating early retirement subsidies; increasing the period for calculating retirement from an average of three years to five years; and eliminating double-dipping. The measure also prohibits the commonwealth from making less than the annual payment required by the new schedule if investment returns exceed expectations: greater-than-expected gains would be used to shorten the schedule. It further dedicates future tobacco settlement monies to the commonwealth's other post-employment benefits (OPEB) trust fund, starting in phases in fiscal 2013. The combined ratio of Massachusetts' pension and OPEB actuarially required contributions (ARC) to revenue is 16%, slightly higher than average. Left unchecked, those costs could limit the commonwealth's fiscal flexibility going

forward, but the new plan should help to mitigate them.

#### CLOSELY MANAGED VARIABLE RATE DEBT AND SWAPS PORTFOLIO

Massachusetts has a total of \$4.0 billion of floating rate debt outstanding, or 13% of its Moody's-calculated net tax supported debt. This includes: just more than \$1 billion of variable rate demand bonds with unremarketed tenders supported by bank liquidity facilities; \$994 million of SIFMA index (\$266 million have maturities within the next twelve months); a \$248 million direct loan; \$401 million of auction rate bonds; \$197 million of CPI index bonds; \$845 million of LIBOR index bonds; and \$289 million of variable rate bonds issued by the University of Massachusetts Building Authority and the Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority that the commonwealth pledges its full faith and credit to pay if necessary. The commonwealth's variable rate and derivatives portfolio is closely managed and its liquidity facilities and swap agreements contain provisions favorable to the commonwealth and offset its relatively low available cash balances. Liquidity facilities contain a mix of three- and five-year term-out provisions that, considering the strength of Massachusetts' market access should provide ample time to refinance if necessary. Swap counterparties are well-diversified and do not reflect collateral posting requirements on the part of the commonwealth. In our analysis, the commonwealth's liquidity (reflecting current cash as well as amounts in the stabilization fund) is sufficient to handle unforeseen circumstances related to its variable rate debt and swaps.

#### OUTLOOK

The outlook for Massachusetts is stable, reflecting its good reserve levels and efforts to regain structural budget balance. The outlook also reflects our expectation that the commonwealth will continue to take proactive measures to close budget gaps if they emerge and continue its trend of strong financial management. Going forward, heavy reliance on one-time budget solutions, tighter cash margins, unexpectedly severe economic deterioration, or a large increase in tax-supported debt could be credit challenges.

#### WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO UP

- Continued rebuilding of reserves and establishment of stronger constraints on their use
- Established trend of structural budget balance
- Reduced debt ratios relative to Moody's 50-state median

#### WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO DOWN

- Protracted structural budget imbalance driven by deeper and/or prolonged economic downturn
- Depletion of Budget Stabilization Fund to inadequate levels
- Increased leveraging of the commonwealth's resources to pay debt service or further erosion in funding ratios
- Narrowed cash flow that strains the commonwealth's liquidity

The principal methodology used in this rating was Moody's State Rating Methodology published in November 2004. Please see the Credit Policy page on [www.moody.com](http://www.moody.com) for a copy of this methodology.

#### REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

The Global Scale Credit Ratings on this press release that are issued by one of Moody's affiliates outside the EU are endorsed by Moody's Investors Service Ltd., One Canada Square, Canary Wharf, London E 14 5FA, UK, in accordance with Art.4 paragraph 3 of the Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 on Credit Rating Agencies. Further information on the EU endorsement status and on the Moody's office that has issued a particular Credit Rating is available on [www.moody.com](http://www.moody.com).

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation

to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on [www.moody.com](http://www.moody.com).

Information sources used to prepare the rating are the following: parties involved in the ratings, public information, confidential and proprietary Moody's Investors Service's information, and confidential and proprietary Moody's Analytics' information.

Moody's considers the quality of information available on the rated entity, obligation or credit satisfactory for the purposes of issuing a rating.

Moody's adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, Moody's is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process.

Please see the ratings disclosure page on [www.moody.com](http://www.moody.com) for general disclosure on potential conflicts of interests.

Please see the ratings disclosure page on [www.moody.com](http://www.moody.com) for information on (A) MCO's major shareholders (above 5%) and for (B) further information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities as well as (C) the names of entities that hold ratings from MIS that have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%. A member of the board of directors of this rated entity may also be a member of the board of directors of a shareholder of Moody's Corporation; however, Moody's has not independently verified this matter.

Please see Moody's Rating Symbols and Definitions on the Rating Process page on [www.moody.com](http://www.moody.com) for further information on the meaning of each rating category and the definition of default and recovery.

Please see ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on [www.moody.com](http://www.moody.com) for the last rating action and the rating history.

The date on which some ratings were first released goes back to a time before Moody's ratings were fully digitized and accurate data may not be available. Consequently, Moody's provides a date that it believes is the most reliable and accurate based on the information that is available to it. Please see the ratings disclosure page on our website [www.moody.com](http://www.moody.com) for further information.

Please see [www.moody.com](http://www.moody.com) for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

### **Analysts**

Nicholas Samuels  
Lead Analyst  
Public Finance Group  
Moody's Investors Service

Nicole Johnson  
Backup Analyst  
Public Finance Group  
Moody's Investors Service

Emily Raimés  
Additional Contact  
Public Finance Group  
Moody's Investors Service

### **Contacts**

Journalists: (212) 553-0376  
Research Clients: (212) 553-1653

Moody's Investors Service, Inc.  
250 Greenwich Street  
New York, NY 10007  
USA



© 2012 Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

**CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.**

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable, including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The ratings, financial reporting analysis, projections, and other observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. Each user of the information contained herein must make its own study and evaluation of each security it may consider purchasing, holding or selling. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Any publication into Australia of this document is by MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657, which holds Australian Financial Services License no. 336969. This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, credit ratings assigned on and after October 1, 2010 by Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") are MJKK's current opinions of the relative future credit risk of entities, credit commitments, or debt or debt-like securities. In such a case, "MIS" in the foregoing statements shall be deemed to be replaced with "MJKK". MJKK is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO.

This credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be dangerous for retail investors to make any investment decision based on this credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.